



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/826,901

04/19/2004

Hovanes John Ter-Zakarian

12,616

2222

2675

7590

04/17/2007

WILLIAM W. HAEFLIGER

201 S. LAKE AVE

SUITE 512

PASADENA, CA 91101

EXAMINER

SOROUGH, LAYLA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1617

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

04/17/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/826,901	TER-ZAKARIAN, HOVANES JOHN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Layla Soroush	1617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 October 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

The response filed January 12, 2007 presents remarks and arguments submitted to the office action mailed October 19, 2006 is acknowledged.

Applicant's amendments submitted January 12, 2007 is acknowledged wherein claims 1-7 are amended.

Applicant's arguments over the 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) rejection of claims 1-7 over Frenkel et al. (Increased urinary leukotriene E4 during febrile attacks in the hyperimmuno-globulinaemia D and periodic fever syndrome) in view of Sims et al. (US Pat Applic. 2001/0053764) and PDR (53rd edition 1999) is not persuasive. Therefore, the rejection is maintained for the reasons of record.

In view of applicant's amendments to the claims, the following rejections are made:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not recite the new limitation "for at least 5 months."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frenkel et al. (Increased urinary leukotriene E4 during febrile attacks in the hyperimmuno-globulinaemia D and periodic fever syndrome) in view of Sims et al. (US Pat Applic. 2001/0053764) and PDR (53rd edition 1999).

Frenkel et al. teaches "leukotriene receptor antagonists might offer a new therapeutic approach for patients with the hyperimmunoglobulinaemia D and periodic fever syndrome."

Sims et al. teaches that periodic fever syndrome include familial Mediterranean fever (p. 8, paragraph [0054]).

The references do not specifically teach the leukotriene receptor antagonists in a dosage between 5 and 15 milligrams, administered orally, on a daily basis, to humans between the age of 9 and 72 years, the leukotriene receptor antagonists consisting of Zafirlukast or Singulair nor the treatment for at least 5 months.

However, the PDR (53rd edition 1999) teaches that singular tablets are orally active leukotriene receptor antagonist (p. 1886 Description). The

Art Unit: 1617

recommended dosage amount for adolescents and adults 15 years of age and older is 10 mg tablets daily and for pediatric patients 6 to 14 years of age in one 5 mg. Chewable tablet daily (p. 1889 Dosage and administration).

Additionally, the PDR (53rd edition 1999) teaches that Zafirlukast is a selective peptide leukotriene receptor antagonist (see p. 3402 Description). The recommended oral dosage of Zafirlukast is 20 mg twice daily in adult and children 12 years and older.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ a leukotriene receptor antagonist of Frenkel et al. in the dosage amount between 5 and 15 milligrams, administered orally, on a daily basis, to humans between the age of 9 and 72 years, and the leukotriene receptor antagonists consisting of Zafirlukast or Singulair. Further, it would have been obvious to lower the dosage of Zafirlukast in children because it is known that recommended children's intake of drugs are at lower dosages than adults. This is further distinguished by PDR's teachings that singular, a leukotriene receptor antagonist, is given to adults and children at different concentrations. Additionally, it is obvious to treat a disease for duration of time to alleviate symptoms of such disease. Therefore, the limitation of at least 5 months is rendered obvious by the teachings of the prior art. The motivation to use a leukotriene receptor antagonist of Frenkel et al. in the dosage amount between 5 and 15 milligrams, administered orally, on a daily basis, to humans between the age of 9 and 72 years is because the PDR teaches that (1) the said leukotriene receptor antagonist are therapeutically effective in the dosage range claimed, (2)

Art Unit: 1617

administered orally, (3) on a daily basis, and (4) to patients in the claimed age range. Therefore, a skilled artisan would have reasonable expectation of successfully producing a therapeutically effective oral pharmaceutical formulation in the dosage range claimed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 12, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Applicant's arguments against the Frenkel teachings have been considered but are not found persuasive. There is reasonable suggestion by the prior art reference that a skilled artisan would have expected a leukotriene receptor antagonist would have been useful in treating patients with familial Mediterranean fever.

The Applicant's arguments regarding the new limitation "for at least 5 months" is not persuasive. The specification does not recite the new limitation "for at least 5 months." See rejection above.

Applicants argue that the treatment is only for FMF. How do you only treat FMF using the said leukotriene receptor antagonists, when other such diseases such as asthma are also known to be treatable using the identical leukotriene receptor antagonists? Applicant's argument is not persuasive.

The arguments are not persuasive and the rejection is made **FINAL**.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

Art Unit: 1617

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Layla Soroush whose telephone number is (571)272-5008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

Art Unit: 1617

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



SREENI PADMANABHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER